RR RUEHAG RUEHDF RUEHLZ DE RUEHRL #1292/01 2891213 ZNR UUUUU ZZH R 161213Z OCT 09 FM AMEMBASSY BERLIN TO RUEHC/SECSTATE WASHDC 5502 INFO RHEHAAA/WHITE HOUSE WASHINGTON DC RUEKJCS/SECDEF WASHINGTON DC RHEFDIA/DIA WASHINGTON DC RUEAIIA/CIA WASHINGTON DC RUEATRS/DEPT OF TREASURY WASHINGTON DC RUCNFRG/FRG COLLECTIVE RUEHBS/AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS 1641 RUEHLO/AMEMBASSY LONDON 0348 RUEHFR/AMEMBASSY PARIS 0866 RUEHRO/AMEMBASSY ROME 2382 RUEHNO/USMISSION USNATO 1391 RUEHVEN/USMISSION USOSCE 0574 RHMFIUU/HQ USAFE RAMSTEIN AB GE RHMFISS/HQ USEUCOM VAIHINGEN GE//J5 DIRECTORATE (MC)// RHMFISS/CDRUSAREUR HEIDELBERG GE RUKAAKC/UDITDUSAREUR HEIDELBERG GE UNCLAS SECTION 01 OF 06 BERLIN 001292 STATE FOR INR/R/MR, EUR/PAPD, EUR/PPA, EUR/CE, INR/EUC, INR/P, SECDEF FOR USDP/ISA/DSAA, DIA FOR DC-4A VIENNA FOR CSBM, CSCE, PAA "PERISHABLE INFORMATION -- DO NOT SERVICE" SIPDIS E.O. 12958: N/A TAGS: OPRC KMDR KPAO PK TK UN EV EU SUBJECT: MEDIA REACTION: PAKISTAN, TURKEY-ISRAEL, UNHRC, ENVIRONMENT, EU-U.S.; BERLIN <u>¶</u>1. Lead Stories Summary **1**2. (Pakistan) Series of Bomb Attacks <u>¶</u>3. (Turkey-Israel) Relations ¶4. (UNHRC) Goldstone Report ¶5. (Environment) Climate Change <u>¶</u>6. (EU-U.S.) Dollar, SWIFT ¶1. Lead Stories Summary Primetime newscasts opened with stories on Germany's economic forecast. Newspapers led with the ongoing coalition talks and other Frankfurter Rundschau highlighted an interview with SPD Bundestag Caucus Chairman Steinmeier, warning his party against moving to the left. Berliner Zeitung headlined "Clever Immigrants - Bad Editorials focused on the coalition talks and the situation Jobs. in Pakistan. <u>¶</u>2. (Pakistan) Series of Bomb Attacks FT Deutschland (10/16) headlined "Taliban spread terror in Pakistan," and added in its introduction: "With an unprecedented spate of attacks, the Taliban are trying to destabilize the country." Under the headline "Pakistan's nuclear weapons and terrorists," Frankfurter Allgemeine wrote: "Experts fear that the world will hear about lost nuclear material when it is too late." Sddeutsche remarked: "The Taliban again spread fear and terror in the nuclear Pakistan." Under the headline "Pakistan is already a failed state," Berliner Zeitung (10/16) editorialized: "Senior U.S. officials have stressed

VZCZCXRO1889

that Pakistan is the most dangerous place in the world, and we would add,, particularly for Pakistanis. Some 160 people, mostly soldiers and police officers, have died in recent assassinations and attacks....
Islamic radicals have declared war on the Pakistani state and are

trying to spread conflict to the most populous province of Punjab.

The state will respond with war. The government might even win the war on the ground.... However, politically, nothing can be achieved with a war in Pakistan, least of all stability. The country between Afghanistan and India is a failed state.... The lethal deadlock in Pakistan seems to be insurmountable. Also the U.S. government had no idea what to do, apart from appointing an Af/Pak envoy. This is not good enough to create peace in the most dangerous part of the world."

Frankfurter Allgemeine (10/16) opined: "Fears that the country might plunge into the chaos of a civil war and that authority will breakdown

BERLIN 00001292 002 OF 006

due to pressure from the Islamic militias, might be exaggerated. However, recent incidents do not make us optimistic that the country will get things under control anytime soon.... For too long, parts of the security apparatus have exploited, promoted and sympathized with the militant extremists. The recent attacks... are a challenged to the heart of the country; Pakistan must not avoid this challenge. The government and the society must finally realize the seriousness of

threat. Referring to the usual scapegoats is childish self-deception."

Die Welt (10/16) editorialized: "The nuclear power, Pakistan has been

the target of attacks for years.... It is disturbing that the Taliban

and their al Qaida allies are now spreading terror throughout the whole country, not just the provinces close to Afghanistan. The professionalism with which the terror groups operate is highly frightening.... The Afghan problem cannot be resolved without focusing

on Pakistan.... Withdrawing from Afghanistan would also mean giving up

Pakistan to an ideology that despises freedom and human rights.... Pakistan is not so strong to rule out the possibility that one day the

Taliban might gain access to the center of the military apparatus.

Nuclear weapons in the hands of the Taliban? What a nightmare."

Under the headline "Beginning of the last-ditch stand," Frankfurter

Rundschau (10/16) commented: "Only a few weeks after the death of the

Taliban leader Baitullah Mehsud, Pakistan boasted that it had broken

the back of the Taliban. However, the extremists seem to be more dangerous than ever. For two weeks, almost daily attacks have shaken

the country. The Taliban seem to have quickly gotten back on their

feet again under their new leader Hakimullah Mehsud.... The extremists have thrown down the gauntlet to the army, and the army is about to pick it up. The U.S. is breathing down the army's neck, wanting to cut of the Taliban's escape routes from Afghanistan to Pakistan. It remains to be seen whether the army can win a guerilla war."

13. (Turkey-Israel) Relations

Under the headline: "Erdogan; The Will of the People Guides [Our Policy] towards Israel," Die Welt (10/16) wrote: "Turkish Prime Minister Erdogan has a simple explanation for the fact that his country has embarked upon a confrontational course with Israel: "The

policy towards Israel.... In the meantime, it is becoming increasingly

clear that Syria will take over part of Israel's role in this

BERLIN 00001292 003 OF 006

strategic alliance. The Turkish people have hardly had any hint of

the joint maneuver with with the Israeli air force...but Erdogan's statement raises the question whether Turkey will be considered Israel's only Islamic ally in the foreseeable future... Erdogan's

emphasis of the people's will make it conceivable that Turkey's attitude towards Israel could gradually change and come more into line

with the view of the other Islamic countries."

"Turkey does Not Want To be Only A European Partner," is the headline

Davutoglu said that the strategic partnership with Syria would also be

open for other countries, such as Iraq, Lebanon, the Gulf states, and

Egypt, and Turkey would by no means do any harm to Iran. This sounds

like a profound re-orientation of [Turkey's foreign policy]. It seems

to confirm warnings that Turkey no longer primarily feels like a partner of Europe but like the leading power of the Middle East. And

can it be a coincidence that the countries to which Ankara has now offered a partnership are all Muslim countries? We should be careful

and not jump to conclusions. The basic question is whether the policy

of the West is the same as western policies? The current disgruntlement has tactical and principle causes which are both temporary...but Turkey's criticism of Israel's operations during the

Gaza War is more serious...and to take this report seriously is not $\frac{1}{2}$

sign of anti-western policies. As far as domestic policy is concerned

the incident shows that the government has disassociated a great deal

from the tutelage of the generals and now also has a military say.

And this is a genuinely western tradition."

die tageszeitung (10/16) judged: "The turnaround happened after the

Gaza War. The Turkish government felt duped in its [Mideast] mediation

efforts, fiercely criticized Israel and fully backed anti-Israeli resentments in the municipal elections at the beginning of this

vear.

Obviously, the Turkish government no longer wants to act as a bridge

builder between Israel and its Arab neighbors. Instead it is now striving for a leading role in the Muslim camp. Otherwise, it would

not have thrown out the Israeli air force and shown anti-Semitic movies on state controlled Turkish TV. Prime Minister Erdogan and his

Foreign Minister Davutoglu consider themselves to be so successful in

their new roles as 'new Ottomans' that they think it no longer necessary to show consideration for the West. The demonstrative disinterest in the EU's Progress Report is making this clear again."

BERLIN 00001292 004 OF 006

14. (UNHRC) Goldstone Report

"Again UNHRC Condemns Israel," headlined Die Welt (10/16), and wrote:

"Moderate Israeli observers do not deny that Goldstone has tried to

uncover the truth. But this cannot be said of the draft resolution of

the UN Human Rights Council (UNHRC). Goldstone - to a modest extent

- pointed to the war crimes of Hamas, but this view is not reflected

in the draft resolution. Neither is the firing of Hamas missiles at

Israeli cities, a measure that has also been classified as a war crime. The UNHRC is well known for its one-sided condemnation of Israel and that is why it is no longer being taken seriously by western states."

According to Sueddeutsche Zeitung (10/16), "Israel strongly criticized

the deliberations in the UNHRC about the Goldstone Report on war crimes during the Gaza War." Under the headline: "Tough Wrestling Over the Truth," the daily reported: "The Report accuses Israel and

armed Palestinian groups of having committed war crimes.... In addition

to the Palestinian government and several Arab states, some western

governments are also pressuring Israel to examine the accusation of

war crimes during the Gaza War. The Deputy U.S. Ambassador to the UN,

Alejandro Wolff, expressed his deep concern about the results of the

Report and said that Israel must seriously examine the accusations.

Israel has always criticized the report of human rights activist Richard Goldstone as being unimportant."

In a profile of Goldstone, Sueddeutsche Zeitung (10/16) cited Goldstone as having said: "I feel disappointed that some Jews think

that I as a Jew should not examine Israel's attitude during the Gaza

War. But Israel did not help him," the paper wrote, and added: "The

Netanyahu government refused to cooperate with Goldstone and refused

him entry into the country but it speaks for Goldstone's independence

that he conducted 180 interviews with Palestinian victims for more than three weeks, that he looked through 10,000 pages of documents and

then published a 600-page report, in which not only Israel is being

pilloried but also the radical-Islamic Hamas. In Goldstone's view,

Handelsblatt (10/16) reported under the headline: "UN Pillories Israel," and wrote: "Israel is increasingly coming under pressure in

the UN because of its policy towards the Palestinians. Four country

BERLIN 00001292 005 OF 006

groups and the Palestinians filed a motion calling upon the UNHRC to condemn Israel. It is likely that the motion will get the necessary support and this is then likely to intensify the conflict between Israel and the Palestinians."

Regional daily Neue Osnabrcker Zeitung (10/16) editorialized: "The

psychological warfare between Israel and the radical Islamic Hamas continues; this time before the UNHRC. The name of this body itself

is grotesque, because many member states are governed by regimes which

do not show any respect for freedom. After innumerous anti-Israel resolutions, Israel will now again be presented as the bogeyman. The

reason is the Goldstone Report which accuses Israel but also Hamas of

having seriously violated human rights during the most recent Gaza War. But the debate hardly addresses the fact that the accusations

are based on hearsay and less on evidence. As in the past, the Islamic states are blaming Israel as usual. This is disgraceful and not helpful for peace."

15. (Environment) Climate Change

In an editorial under the headline: "Tough Poker Game in the Glass House - Without Greater U.S. movement, There Will Be No Agreement in

Copenhagen," Handelsblatt (10/16) opined: "The negotiations about global moves for a more effective protection of the climate have gotten bogged down. The greatest emitters of carbon dioxides, the United States and China, have only submitted non-committal declarations of intent regarding ecologically sustainable economic growth. The United States is playing a key role. Washington did not

join the Kyoto Protocol and only accepts relatively small commitments

to reduce its emissions. According to current calculations, America

would not make any savings before 2020, based on 1990 levels. This

example of the calculations of wealthy North Americans makes clear that a tough poker game will take place in Copenhagen. The coming weeks will reveal dramatic distribution fights. All industrialized

nations must reduce their carbon dioxide emissions and, at the same time, make financial transfers to the Third World...."

16. (EU-U.S.) Dollar, SWIFT

Sueddeutsche Zeitung carried an editorial under the headline; "Weak

Dollar, Good Dollar," and wondered: "Does the world need a strong dollar? The answer is: Only as long as China is distorting markets.

Under fair conditions, a weak dollar would even be good for the markets. It would help bring back a balance to the markets. With a

credit-based consumption craze, America brought on the global
crisis.

Now the Americans must tighten their belts and first earn the money

BERLIN 00001292 006 OF 006

they like to spend. This only works, when they sell more goods abroad

than they buy abroad. A weak dollar makes this easier because it gives U.S. companies a stimulus to export. But instead of calling for

a strong dollar, the head of the European Central Bank, Trichet should

convince China to give up the bad habit of currency manipulation.

pegging their currency to the dollar, the Chinese can enjoy a booming

export industry. But this poison of cheap money is accumulating in

economic system. The result is a speculation bubble and bad loans.

How dangerous this is, Chinese can now observe in America. May the

pictures of deserted suburbs prompt them to give up such manipulation."

"Opportunity makes the thief," judged Handelsblatt (10/16) and editorialized: "Europeans and Americans seem to have forgotten this

when discussing the agreement on access to data of the SWIFT banking

network. Instead of restricting the collection of data, Brussels is

now giving the Americans a blank check. As a matter of fact, the agreement was supposed to create legal security. For more than seven

years, the United States has tapped SWIFT data...without an established

And those who erroneously get into the crosshairs of legal basis. U.S. terror investigators can hardly defend themselves. And there has

been no cure yet for the illegal transfer of data and its misuse.

Europeans have become an object of the U.S. war on terror, whether they like it or not. But with the new agreement this was supposed

change. But what the Swedish EU presidency has now secretly negotiated under the exclusion of the public and the European Parliament is a bitter disappointment. The draft confirms the worst

fears of data protection commissioners. But there is also some good

news: the new CDU/CSU/FDP coalition wants to interfere in the SWIFT

talks. The FDP must now prove that it is serious about protecting civil rights."

MURPHY